Page 1 of 2

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER DOCKET NO.: 2005-0613-PST-E TCEQ ID: RN101909695 CASE NO.: 25052 RESPONDENT NAME: RFK ENTERPRISES, INC. DBA FOOD SPOT 2

ORDER TYPE:						
X1660 AGREED ORDER	FINDINGS AGREED ORDERFINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING					
FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	SHUTDOWN ORDERIMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER					
AMENDED ORDEREMERGENCY ORDER						
CASE TYPE:						
AIR	MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDO WASTE					
_PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY X_PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKSOCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATIO						
WATER QUALITY	VATER QUALITYSEWAGE SLUDGEUNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL					
MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTERADIOACTIVE WASTEDRY CLEANER REGISTRATION					
SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED: 5011 Monroe Street, Groves, Jefferson County  TYPE OF OPERATION: Convenience store with retail sales of gasoline  SMALL BUSINESS: X Yes No  OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility location.  INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter.  COMMENTS RECEIVED: The Texas Register comment period expired on December 29, 2008. No comments were received.  CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST:  TCEQ Attorney: Mr. Jim Sallans, Litigation Division, MC 175, (512) 239-2053  Ms. Lena Roberts, Litigation Division, MC 175, (512) 239-0019  TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Mr. Terry Murphy, Air Enforcement Section, MC 149, (512) 239-5025  TCEQ Regional Contact: Ms. Alyssa Taylor, Dallas/Ft. Worth Regional Office, MC R-4, (817) 588-5828  Respondent: Mr. Farooq Abdul-Satter, President, RFK Enterprises, Inc. 5011 Monroe, Groves, Texas 77619  Respondent's Attorney: Not represented by counsel on this enforcement matter.						

## RESPONDENT NAME: RFK ENTERPRISES, INC. DBA FOOD SPOT 2 DOCKET NO.: 2005-0613-PST-E

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:					
VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED			
Type of Investigation: Complaint	Total Assessed: \$4,950 Total Deferred: \$0	Corrective Actions Taken: The Executive Director recognizes that RFK has implemented the following corrective measures:			
X Routine Enforcement Follow-up Records Review	Expedited OrderFinancial Inability to PaySEP Conditional Offset	Provided corrosion protection on February 5, 2005.			
Date of Complaint Relating to this Case: None	Total Paid/Due to General Revenue: \$225/\$4,725	2. Submitted records of release detection monitoring on April 8, 2005.			
Date of Investigation Relating to this Case: December 29, 2004	The Respondent has paid \$225 of the administrative penalty. The remaining amount of \$4,725 of the administrative penalty shall be	3. Properly capped and secured all monitoring wells on March 25, 2005.			
Date of NOE Relating to this Case: February 26, 2005	payable in 35 monthly payments of \$135.00 each.  Site Compliance History Classification				
Background Facts: The EDPRP was filed on September 5, 2006.	High _X_AveragePoor				
After clarifying issues related to Respondent's compliance, an EDFARP was filed on March 4, 2008. The Respondent filed an answer and on	Person Compliance History Classification High X Average Poor				
August 7, 2008, a preliminary hearing was held, and a settlement conference resulted in an Agreed Order on September 22, 2008.	Major Source: Yes X_ No Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002				
Current Compliance Status:  All of the violations have been corrected.	·				
PST:					
1. Failed to provide corrosion protection for the UST system [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.49(a)(1) and Tex. WATER CODE § 26.3475(d)].					
2. Failed to ensure that all tanks are monitored in a manner which will detect a release at a frequency of at least once every month and failed to monitor the UST system in a manner which will detect a release from any portion of the piping system [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(1)(a) and 334.50(b)(2) and Tex. WATER CODE § 26.3475(a) and (c)(1)].					
3. Failed to properly cap and secure all monitoring wells to prevent unauthorized access, tampering and any deliberate or accidental depositing of unauthorized substances and prevent surface run-off from entering the well [30 Tex. ADMIN. CODE § 334.46(g)].					
	,				

Pa	ge 1 of 8 09/12/0	8 C:\WINDOWS\TEN	MP\XPgrpwise\PCV	V non-default 9	-11-08.qpw	
Policy Revision 2		Ity Calculation	Worksheet	(PCW)	PCW Revision March	02, 2005
TCEQ DATES Assigned PCW	28-Feb-2005 11-Sep-2008 <b>S</b> o	creening 10-Mar-2005	Priority Due 2	9-Apr-2005	EPA Due	
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	RFK Enterprises, In RN101909695	c. dba Food Spot 2				
Additional ID No(s). Facility/Site Region		Tank Registration No.		nor Source Mi	nor Source	<
Case Priority	25052 2005-0613-PST-E 2 Petroleum Storage	Tank \$0   Maximun	Enf. C	F Violations 3 Order Type 16 Coordinator Team Er		<
TOTAL BÁSE PEN	·	Penalty Calcu violation base pen		on	Subtotal 1	\$5,500
	obtained by multiplying the	Total Base Penalty (Subtotal	Enhancement otices of Violations	Subtota	als 2, 3, & 7	\$0
<b>Culpability</b> Notes	No ☑<	0% ondent does not meet t	6 Enhancement	ia.	Subtotal 4	\$0
Good Faith E  Extraordinary  Ordinary  N/A		10% DEDPRP/Settlement Offer X with a small x)	6 Reduction		Subtotal 5	-\$550
Notes	The Resp	ondent became compl	iant on April 8, 200	5.		
	nefit Total EB Amounts ost of Compliance	\$1,269 \$8,000	6 Enhancement* *Capped at the Tota	al EB \$ Amount	Subtotal 6	\$0
SUM OF SUBTOT	ALS 1-7			Fin	al Subtotal	\$4,950
OTHER FACTORS Reduces or enhances the Fi		AY REQUIRE ed percentage. (Enter numbe	er only; e.g30 for -30%		Adjustment	\$0
Ņotes	No defe	erral offered for non-exp	pedited settlement.	Final Pena	Ity Amount	\$4,950

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT

Notes

PAYABLE PENALTY

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicted percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

No deferral offered for non-expedited settlement.

**DEFERRAL** 

\$4,950 \$4,950

\$0

\$4,950

Final Assessed Penalty

0% Reduction

Adjustment

Screening Date 10-Mar-2005

Docket No. 2005-0613-PST-E

**PCW** 

Respondent RFK Enterprises, Inc. dba Food Spot 2

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) PCW Revision March 02, 2005

Case ID No. 25052

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101909695

Additional ID No(s). Petroleum Storage Tank Registration No. 16559

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Terry Murphy

Site Address

5011 Monroe Street, Groves, Jefferson County

## **Compliance History Worksheet**

### >> Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)

Component	Number of	Enter Number Here	Adjust.		
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (number of NOVs meeting criteria)	0	Ó%		
	Other written NOVs	0	0%		
	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (number of orders meeting criteria)	0	0%		
Orders	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement or without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	ders 0	0%		
Judgments and	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees contains a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria)	ng 0	0%		
Consent Decrees	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a de of liability, of this state or the federal government	nial 0	0%		
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (numbof counts)	er 0	0%		
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (number of events)	0	0%		
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which notices were	, 0	0%		
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (number of audits for which violations were disclosed)		0%		
Please Enter Yes or No					
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	no	0%		
	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	no	0%		
Other	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	no	0%		
er e rameredants sans	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	no	0%		

			Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2)	0%		
>>	Repeat Violator	(Subtotal 3)				
	No	X	Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3)	0%		
>>	Compliance Hist	tory <i>Person</i> Classifi	cation (Subtotal 7)			
	Average Perfo	rmer <	Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7)	0%		
>>	Compliance Hist	ory Summary				
	Compliance History Notes					
	<u> </u>		Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2 3 & 7)	<b>0%</b>		

Page 3 of 8 09/12/08 C:\WINDOWS\TEMP\XPgrpwise\PCW non-default 9-11-08.qpw **PCW** Screening Date 10-Mar-2005 Docket No. 2005-0613-PST-E Respondent RFK Enterprises, Inc. dba Food Spot 2 Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) Case ID No. 25052 PCW Revision March 02, 2005 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101909695 Additional ID No(s). Petroleum Storage Tank Registration No. 16559 Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank Enf. Coordinator Terry Murphy Violation Number 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.49(a)(1) Primary Rule Cite(s) Secondary Rule Cite(s) Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(d) Failure to provide corrosion protection for the UST system, as **Violation Description** documented during the December 29, 2004 investigation. **Base Penalty** \$10,000 >> **Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix** Harm Release Moderate Minor OR Actual 25% Percent Potential **Programmatic Matrix** >> Percent Human health or the environment could be exposed to significant amounts of contaminants which may exceed levels that are protective of human Matrix Notes health and environmental receptors. Adjustment -\$7,500 Base Penalty Subtotal \$2,500 **Violation Events** Number of Violation Events dails monthly Violation Base Penalty \$2,500 mark only one quarterly х use a small x semiannual annual One quarterly event is recommended from the December 29, 2004 investigation until the February 15, 2005 compliance date, to make the penalty commensurate with the situation. Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation Statutory Limit Test Estimated EB Amount \$828 **Violation Final Penalty Total** \$2,250

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)

\$2,250

Page 4 of 8 09/12/08 C:\WINDOWS\TEMP\XPgrpwise\PCW non-default 9-11-08.qpw **Economic Benefit Worksheet** Respondent RFK Enterprises, Inc. dba Food Spot 2 Case ID No. 25052 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101909695 Additional ID No(s). Petroleum Storage Tank Registration No. 16559 Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank Percent Years of Violation No. 1 Depreciation Interest 5.0 15 Date Final Yrs Interest Onetime EΒ Item Item Cost Required Date Saved Costs Amount Description No commas or \$ **Delayed Costs** \$0 \$0 0.0 \$0 Equipment 0.0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Buildings 0.0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Other (as needed) 0.0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Engineering/construction \$0 \$0 0.0 n/a Record Keeping System 0.0 \$0 n/a \$0 Training/Sampling 0.0 \$0 \$0 n/a \$0 Remediation/Disposal 0.0 \$0 n/a 0.0 \$0 Permit Costs \$0 n/a \$0 0.0 \$0 n/a Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs) **Avoided Costs** \$0 Disposa! 0.0 0.0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Personnel Inspection/Reporting/Sampling 0.0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$6,000 29-Dec-2004 15-Feb-2005 0.1 \$39 \$789 \$828 Supplies/equipment \$0 \$0 0.0 \$0 Financial Assurance [2] 0.0 \$0 \$0 \$0 ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] 0.0 \$0 \$0 \$0

Cost to install corrosion control protection. Date required (December 29, 2004) is the

investigation date and the final date (February 15, 2005) is the date of compliance.

TOTAL

\$828

Other (as needed)

\$6,000

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance

C:\WINDOWS\TEMP\XPgrpwise\PCW non-default 9-11-08.qpw Page 5 of 8 09/12/08 Screening Date 10-Mar-2005 **PCW** Docket No. 2005-0613-PST-E Respondent RFK Enterprises, Inc. dba Food Spot 2 Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) Case ID No. 25052 PCW Revision March 02, 2005 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101909695 Additional ID No(s). Petroleum Storage Tank Registration No. 16559 Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank Enf. Coordinator Terry Murphy Violation Number 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(1)(A) & 334.50(b)(2) Primary Rule Cite(s) Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(a) and (c)(1) Secondary Rule Cite(s) The Respondent failed to ensure that all tanks are monitored in a manner which will detect a release at a frequency of at least once every month (not to exceed 35 days between each monitoring) and failed to monitor the Violation Description UST system in a manner which will detect a release from any portion of the piping system, as documented during the December 29, 2004 investigation. **Base Penalty** \$10.000 **Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix** >> Harm Release Major Moderate Minor OR Actual Percent Potential **Programmatic Matrix** >> Falsification Major Moderate Human health or the environment could be exposed to significant amounts Matrix Notes of contaminants which may exceed levels that are protective of human health and environmental receptors. Adjustment -\$7,500 Base Penalty Subtotal \$2,500 **Violation Events** Number of Violation Events dailv monthly Violation Base Penalty \$2,500 mark only one quarterly use a small x semiannuai annua One quarterly event is recommended from the December 29. 2004 investigation until the March 10, 2005 enforcement screening date, to make the penalty commensurate with the situation. Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation **Statutory Limit Test** Estimated EB Amount \$432 Violation Final Penalty Total \$2,250

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)

Page 6 of 8 09/12/08 C:\WINDOWS\TEMP\XPgrpwise\PCW non-default 9-11-08.qpw **Economic Benefit Worksheet** Respondent RFK Enterprises, Inc. dba Food Spot 2 Case ID No. 25052 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101909695 Additional ID No(s). Petroleum Storage Tank Registration No. 16559 Years of Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank Percent Violation No. 2 Interest Depreciation 5.0 15 Onetime EB Date Final Yrs Interest 1tem Item Required Saved Costs Amount Cost Description No commas or \$ **Delayed Costs** Equipment 0.0 \$0 \$0 \$0 0.0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Buildings 0.0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Other (as needed) 0.0 \$0 \$0 Engineering/construction \$0 0.0 \$0 n/a Land 0.0 \$0 n/a \$0 Record Keeping System 0.0 \$0 \$0 Training/Sampling n/a 0.0 \$0 \$0 Remediation/Disposal n/a 0.0 \$0 n/a \$0 Permit Costs 0.0 \$0 \$0 n/a Other (as needed) Notes for DELAYED costs ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs) **Avoided Costs** \$0 Disposal 0.0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Personnel 0.0 \$0 \$0 \$0 Inspection/Reporting/Sampling \$1,500 29-Dec-2004 08-Apr-2005 0.3 \$21 \$411 \$432 Supplies/equipment \$0 Financial Assurance [2] 0.0 \$0 \$0 0.0 \$0 \$0 \$0 ONE-TIME avoided costs [3] 0.0 \$0 \$0 Other (as needed) Estimated costs for monthly leak detector tests and costs of monitoring the UST piping for leaks. Date required (December 29, 2004) is investigation date and the final date (April 8, Notes for AVOIDED costs 2005) is the date of compliance.

**TOTAL** 

\$432

\$1,500

Approx. Cost of Compliance

Page 7 of 8 C:\WINDOWS\TEMP\XPgrpwise\PCW non-default 9-11-08.qpw Screening Date 10-Mar-2005 **PCW** Docket No. 2005-0613-PST-E Respondent RFK Enterprises, Inc. dba Food Spot 2 Policy Revision 2 (September 2002) Case ID No. 25052 PCW Revision March 02, 2005 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101909695 Additional ID No(s). Petroleum Storage Tank Registration No. 16559 Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank Enf. Coordinator Terry Murphy **Violation Number** 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.46(g) Primary Rule Cite(s) Secondary Rule Cite(s) Failure to properly cap and secure (or lock) all monitoring wells to prevent unauthorized access, tampering, and any deliberate or accidental depositing of unauthorized substances and prevent surface runoff from Violation Description entering the well. Specifically, the monitoring well was not properly sealed and the cap was resting on top of the well, which was sloped lower than the surrounding ground surface, as documented during the December 29, 2004 investigation. Base Penalty \$10,000 **Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix** Release OR Actual Percent Potential **Programmatic Matrix** >> Falsification Percent Human health or the environment could be exposed to insignificant amounts of pollutants which do not exceed levels that are protective of Matrix Notes human health and environmental receptors. Adjustment -\$9,500 Base Penalty Subtotal \$500 **Violation Events** Number of Violation Events daily monthly Violation Base Penalty \$500 mark only one quarterly use a small x semiannual annual One single event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the December 29, 2004 investigation. Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation **Statutory Limit Test** Violation Final Penalty Total \$450 Estimated EB Amount \$9

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)

\$450

Page 8 of 8	09/12/08	C:\WINDOWS	\TEMP\XPgrpw	ise\PC'	W non-default	9-11-08.qpw	
and and the second seco	Ec	onomic B	enefit Woı	kshe	et		
Case ID No. Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN101909695	· i	·	S559			
	10, 0.0000000000000000000000000000000000					Years of Depreciation 15	
	Item	Date	Final	Yrs	Interest	Onetime	EB
Item	Cost	Required	Date		Saved	Costs	Amount
Description	No commas or \$						
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)	Andready			0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction	\$500	29-Dec-2004	29-Mar-2005	0.2	\$0	\$8	\$9
Land .				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	The state of the s			0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling	The Control of the Co			0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal	property and the second property of the second property of			0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs	The second secon			0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	Bridge Hell by The State of Control of Control			0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
	The estimate	ed cost to have	the well prope	rly capp	oed, sealed and	d secured. Da	ite Required
Notes for DELAYED costs	s (December 29, 2004) is the investigation date and the Final Date (March 29, 2005) is the date						
	of compliance.						
Avoided Costs	ANN	UALIZE [1] avoide	ed costs before en				
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel		ļ		0.0	\$0	\$0 #0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	<u>\$0</u>	\$0 \$0	\$0 60
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0 #0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	<u>\$0</u>	\$0
Other (as needed)		]		0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs						-	

TOTAL

\$9

\$500

Approx. Cost of Compliance

**Compliance History** Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator: CN600981963 RFK Enterprises, Inc. Classification: AVERAGE Rating: 8.380 Regulated Entity: RN101909695 FOOD SPOT 2 Classification: AVERAGE BY DEFAULT Site Rating: 3.01 PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK ID Number(s): REGISTRATION 16559 REGISTRATION Location: 5011 MONROE ST, GROVES, TX, 77619 Rating Date: 9/1/04 Repeat Violator: NO TCEQ Region: **REGION 10 - BEAUMONT** Date Compliance History Prepared: March 10, 2005 Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement Compliance Period: March 10, 2000 to March 10, 2005 TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History Chris Friesenhahn **Site Compliance History Components** 1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? 2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period? No 3. If Yes, who is the current owner? N/A 4. If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)? N/A 5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur? N/A 6. Comments:

#### Components (Multimedia) for the Site:

A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government.

N/A

B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events.

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

1. 02/25/2005 (345739)

E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

N/A

F. Environmental audits.

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).
 N/A
 H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.
 N/A
 I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas N/A

# TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN
ENFORCEMENT ACTION
CONCERNING
RFK ENTERPRISES, INC. DBA
FOOD SPOT 2,
TCEO ID NO. RN101909695

S BEFORE THE
S S TEXAS COMMISSION ON
S S ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
S

## AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2005-0613-PST-E

## I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its \_\_\_\_\_\_ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding RFK Enterprises, Inc. dba Food Spot 2 ("RFK") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, represented by the Litigation Division, and RFK, appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

- 1. RFK owns and operates a convenience store with retail sales of gasoline located at 5011 Monroe Street in Groves, Jefferson County, Texas (the "Facility").
- 2. This Agreed Order is entered into pursuant to TEX. WATER CODE §§ 7.051 and 7.040. The Commission has jurisdiction of this matter pursuant to TEX. WATER CODE § 5.013 because it alleges violations of TEX. WATER CODE ch. 26 and TCEQ rules.
- 3. The Commission and RFK agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that RFK is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
- 4. RFK received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about March 2, 2005.
- 5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by RFK of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.

- 6. An administrative penalty in the amount of four thousand nine hundred fifty dollars (\$4,950.00) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations"). RFK has paid two hundred twenty-five dollars (\$225.00) of the administrative penalty. The remaining amount of four thousand seven hundred twenty-five dollars (\$4,725.00) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 35 monthly payments of one hundred thirty-five dollars (\$135.00) each. The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not less than 30 days following the date of the previous payment until paid in full. If RFK fails to timely and satisfactory comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, including the payment schedule, the Executive Director may, at his option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without a demand notice. In addition, the failure of RFK to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order, constitutes the failure by RFK to timely and satisfactory comply with all of the terms of this Agreed Order.
- 7. Any notice and procedures which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
- 8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and RFK have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
- 9. The Executive Director recognizes that RFK has implemented the following corrective measures:
  - a. Provided corrosion protection on February 5, 2005;
  - b. Submitted records of release detection monitoring on April 8, 2005; and
  - c. Properly capped and secured all monitoring wells on March 25, 2005.
- 10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that RFK has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
- 11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.

12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

#### II. ALLEGATIONS

RFK, as owner and operator of the Facility, is alleged to have violated:

- 1. 30 Tex. ADMIN. Code § 334.49(a)(1) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(d) by failing to provide corrosion protection for the UST system, as documented during the December 29, 2004 investigation.
- 2. 30 Tex. ADMIN. Code § 334.50(b)(1)(a) and 334.50(b)(2) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(a) and (c)(1) by failing to ensure that all tanks are monitored in a manner which will detect a release at a frequency of at least once every month and failed to monitor the UST system in a manner which will detect a release from any portion of the piping system, as documented during the December 29, 2004 investigation.
- 30 Tex. Addin. Code § 334.46(g) by failing to properly cap and secure all monitoring wells to prevent unauthorized access, tampering and any deliberate or accidental depositing of unauthorized substances and prevent surface run-off from entering the well.

## III. DENIALS

RFK generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

## IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that RFK pay administrative penalties as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of these administrative penalties and RFK's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only those matters described here. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be sent with the notation "Re: RFK Enterprises, Inc. dba Food Spot 2; Docket No. 2005-0613-PST-E"; Enforcement ID No. 25052. to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214 Texas Commission on Environmental Quality P.O. Box 13088 Austin, Texas 78711-3088

- 2. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon RFK. RFK is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in the Agreed Order.
- 3. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against RFK in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of the Tex. WATER CODE or the Tex. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE.
- 4. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
- 5. Under 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 70.10(b) and Tex. Gov't Code § 2001.142, the effective date of this Agreed Order is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to RFK, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to RFK, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

RFK ENTERPRISES, INC DBA FOOD SPOT 2 DOCKET NO. 2005-0613-PST-E Page 5

Name (Printed or typed)

Authorized representative of RFK Enterprises, Inc. dba Food Spot 2

# SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission	
Horgion Parlie	11/25/200%
For the Executive Director	Date
authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Ordersignature, and I do agree to the terms and condition	the attached Agreed Order. I represent that I amer on behalf of the entity, if any, indicated below my ions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the mount, is materially relying on such representation.
my failure to timely pay the penalty amount, m	
A negative impact on my compliance hi	
<ul><li> Greater scrutiny of any permit application</li><li> Referral of this case to the Attorney</li></ul>	General's office for contempt, injunctive relief,
additional penalties, and/or attorney fee	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
• Increased penalties in any future enforce	
• Automatic referral to the Attorney General me; and	ral's Office of any future enforcement actions against
TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized.	l by law.
In addition, any falsification of any compliance	documents may result in criminal prosecution.
m Jaroo S	
	9-22-08
Signature	Date
FARDOR - ARNUL-SATTAR	9-22-08 Date President-

Title